



**Board Meeting/Réunion du Conseil d'Administration
Brussels Thursday, 22 November 2012
Commission, JII -79, 2/03, 1040 Brussels: 12.30-14.00**

ATTENDANCE

Presents: Erika Schulze, Marc Henriques de Granada, Carola Streul, Joëlle Salmon, Jacopo Lombardi, Monika Paulus, Pascal Manzano, Ralph Wischermann, Daniella Terruso, Maximilian Strotmann, Kunka Ilieva, Eric Pietre

Absent (excused): Serge Lebel, Anja Mangels, Martijn Hesselink, Martina Sindelar.

The following delegations have been sent for this meeting:

1. Serge Lebel gives his proxy to Joëlle (or Pascal)
2. Martina Sindelar gives her proxy to Joëlle
3. Anja Mangels gives her proxy to Erika
4. Martijn Hesselink gives his proxy to Max

Erika Schulze chairs the meeting.

AGENDA

Draft Agenda

1. Adoption of Draft Agenda (2 minutes)
2. Adoption of minutes of meetings of 09/10/2012, 25/10/2012, 06/11/2012 and 22/11/2012 (10 minutes)
3. APEEE Presidency (30 minutes)
4. Preparation of GA: Resolutions (Part I¹), who does what at the GA (30 minutes)
5. Preparation of BoG meeting on 5/12: meeting at school, and meeting documents see Docee documents sent by Manuela (15 minutes)
6. AoB (3 minutes)

¹ Part II will be at the extra-ordinary CA meeting dedicated to this issue on 29/11

MINUTES

1/ The agenda is adopted with additional points under AOB: IT; Thanks to MrsUtaPriebe and message to published on the web following complaints about strikes.

2/ Minutes of 09/10/2012, 25/10/2012, 06/11/2012 are not yet finalized. The minutes of November 20nd2012 are adopted and can be published on the web.

3/ Erika introduced the document she prepared regarding the presidency of APEEE. The first objective is to organize the distribution of tasks between board members not only to share the workload but also to insure that everybody can take the relay.

A discussion takes place on the working groups. Enrolment policy, “Groupe de suivi” and 5th school should be coordinated by the same person. For some members, it is not necessary that WGs meet once a month but should meet according to their needs. Some tasks could be under the responsibilities of the bureau. There are difference between WGs and committees(as stipulated in the statutes). The WGs should ensure that all board members are integrated into the work of the Board and facilitate their work. Active parents could be included as long as they also assume a task in the working group. The working groups also serve to “train” Board members on certain subjects. The existing committees should continue to be the relay to pass information to parents and to receive their feed-back. The document is a kind of “to do list” with results to reach.

At the moment the composition of the board is not yet identified and the final split of responsibilities will be decided with the new CA but members in their first year mandate express their interests for some working groups: Jacopo will continue in the canteen committee; Kunka is interested in violence and behavior group and in Somerfest; Max in the enrolment WG (CEA) & “Groupe de suivi” and other tasks he can manage from home. Carola could join the pedagogical group and Interparents.

4/ Discussion on the points proposed by parents for the General Assembly. Erika has redrafted some parts of the proposals to present it like resolutions and to have a clear part for decision at the GA.

There is a long discussion on the proposal for périscolaire. Should music be privileged more than sports and other activities? Should we organize private course although some aspects on the organization is not under our responsibilities (eg: availability of rooms, time schedule). The list of courses organized in other schools is important but we are not in the same situation. We are in the first year in Laeken and parents cannot expect having the same services as in the schools of 10-50 years old. The periscolaire offer must be well balanced. Many Board members express themselves as being favourable of a periscolaire programme which provides in the first place a programme that allows pupils across classes and sections to be active together and not to enhance personal performance.

Regarding the third proposal, it is not clear why parents request a resolution on parking place as what they ask is already implemented.

For the L2 resolution, we should be cautious on the way it is written. It seems that for some courses, it depends on the way teachers organize them-selves. One of the problems this year is probably the fact that the meeting on L2 was postponed by one month. After discussion with teachers some aspects change.

Detailed discussions on this point will take place on the next CA meeting planned for 29 November 2012. Erika asked members to send their proposals to all resolutions before that date.

5/ Point 5 is postponed.

6/ Carola would like APEEE to organize something to thank Mrs. Tribe, the dietician who worked for us during the five first years on a voluntary basis although she had no child in our school.

Eric informs that Stephan's baby was born this night and Saïd had also a baby a few weeks ago.

Decision 2012-11-22_1 The CA decides that Carola will organize the gift for Mrs. Prieb for a maximum value of 150€ and Eric to organize the gift for Stephan and Saïd.

Complaints on strike: Eric received some complains on the strike and the fact that APEEE didn't organize something to allow for parents to pick up their children at the central GPS. Complains regarding the lack of sensibility for the problems these actions are causing to parents should be addressed to OIB and trade-unions. There is also the parents association of crèches & garderies (AdP) which is the official interlocutor with OIB and took already action on this matter.

Point on IT postponed.

The meeting ended at 2.15 pm.

Notetaking by Joëlle